



Presented by the City Attorney's Office

Yibin Shen - City Attorney

Julia Gonzales - Chief Assistant Prosecutor



PROSECUTION & PUBLIC RIGHTS UNIT

Monthly Report

January
2025


Table of Contents

Page 1 - Criminal Matters: Requests for Charge

Page 2 - Criminal Matters: Resolutions

Page 3 - Civil Matters: Inquiries, New Cases

Pages 4 - Civil Matters: Existing Cases



www.alamedaca.gov

Email: cityprosecutor@alamedaca.gov • Phone: 510-747-4760 • Fax: 510-865-4028

SUMMARY

The Prosecution and Public Rights Unit of the Alameda City Attorney's Office represents the People of the State of California in misdemeanor criminal matters. The Unit is further charged with bringing affirmative litigation in response to violations of consumer protection and fair housing laws, and protecting consumers against fraud and unfair business practices. This report is to summarize the Prosecution and Public Rights Unit's activity for the month of January 2025.



CRIMINAL MATTERS

For the month of January 2025, the Alameda City Attorney's Prosecution and Public Rights Unit reviewed **65** requests for charges, **44** of which resulted in the filing of a criminal case, including the following crimes:

CRIMES AGAINST PERSON/WEAPONS

	Battery / Assault	Brandishing	Child Abuse	Domestic Violence	Elder Abuse	Criminal Threats
Jan 2025	2	1	0	1	0	2
YTD	2	1	0	1	0	2

CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

	Petty Theft	Grand Theft	Auto Theft	Criminal Trespass	Receiving Stolen Prop	Vandalism
Jan 2025	15	0	0	2	0	0
YTD	15	0	0	2	0	0

CRIMES AGAINST STATE

	DUI + DUI Drugs	Violating Court Order	Resisting Arrest	Public Intox	Possess Control Subs	Hit & Run
Jan 2025	10	5	1	2	1	1
YTD	10	5	1	2	1	1

CRIMINAL MATTERS

21 of the 65 requests for charges in the month of January 2025 did not result in the filing of a new criminal case.

CHARGES DECLINED

	Jan 2025	YTD
Conduct Lawful	0	0
Statute of Limitations	3	3
Rejected for Insufficient Evidence	1	1
Rejected, Victim considerations	2	2
Rejected, proceed other cts	4	4
Interest of Justice	11	11
TOTALS	21	21



RESOLUTIONS

In the month of January 2025, **5** cases were resolved.

CONVICTIONS

	Jan 2025	YTD
Driving Under the Influence /Wet Reckless/ Reckless Driving	5	5
Battery	0	0
Trespass	0	0
Vandalism	0	0
Other Offenses	0	0
TOTALS	5	5

PROBATION VIOLATIONS

In January 2025 **8** probation violations were charged, bringing the year-to-date total to 8.

DISMISSALS

	Jan 2025	YTD
Global Resolution	11	11
Court Dismissal	4	4
Defer Prosecution/ Entry of Judgment	7	7
Lack of Witness	1	1
Successful Diversion	2	2
Insufficient Evidence	0	0
Interest of Justice	0	0
TOTALS	26	26

CIVIL MATTERS

CITIZEN INQUIRIES

	Electronic	Telephone	Walk-In	TOTALS
January 2025	62	51	1	114
Year-to-Date	62	51	1	114

CURRENT CASE LOAD

	NEW CASES		TOTAL CASE LOAD			CLOSED CASES		
	Housing	Consumer	Housing	Consumer	Labor	Housing	Consumer	Labor
January 2025	6	2	20	5	0	1	1	0
Year-to-Date	6	2				1	1	0

EXAMPLES OF NEW HOUSING CASES THIS MONTH

One case involving a Tenant who claims their Landlord locked them out of their home and refused to let them back in when asked. The Tenant further claims their Landlord has been colluding with a third party to displace them permanently from the property so they can re-rent it at a higher price.

One case involving a Tenant who claims they were charged a late fee for their rental payment, despite never actually being late. They further claim the new property management company increased the late fee without notice, whilst Tenant was still locked in a 12-month lease.

CIVIL MATTERS



EXAMPLES OF EXISTING CASE LOAD

One case involving tenants who claims their Landlord is harassing them by entering their unit without notice, sending threatening texts or emails, leaving needles on the ground outside their home, “keying” their car, verbally harassing them and attempting to break into their home.

Two tenants claim when they moved out of their unit, they were told their inspection was good and they did not need to fix anything. However, after vacating, they received a notice from collections on behalf of the management company stating they owed money for vacating the unit without making repairs.

Once case involving a Tenant who claims they were charged exorbitantly from their deposit after moving out. They further allege they were never given an opportunity to make any needed repairs prior to moving out because their walkthrough didn’t even indicate that any were needed.

One case involving a consumer who alleges they were removed from a coworking space and banned from returning based on their racial or ethnic background.

