The Park FKA Jackson Park Renaming Committee Vetting Subcommittee

Rasheed Shabazz 10/20/2020

Using our responses to the Renaming Scorecard, I calculated the top 5 for three categories: (Table 1): values, cultural, and persons. (If there were more than one fourth-place entry "tied," I included them all.)

I then used this Table to calculate the top scores and the most frequently chosen names between the subcommittee members.

I did not include three categories: nature, historical, and generic, because the criteria did not fit those categories well.

Questions for Subcommittee:

- What was your experience using the scorecard? How can we improve it?
- Would you make any changes to criteria/categories/process?
- What should the process be once we receive names in November?

Table 1. Top Five Names for Three Renaming Categories, October 2020				
Category	Rasheed	Jessica	Rachel	Jim
Value	Justice (7) Humanism (5) Diversity (5) Truth & Reconciliation (4) Unity (3) Peace (3) Acceptance (3)	Truth & Reconciliation (4) Diversity (3) Friendship (2) Peace (2) Justice (2) Acceptance (2)	Peace (6) Justice (6) Liberty (6) Diversity (6) Truth & Reconciliation (6) Acceptance (6)	Justice (6) Liberty (6) Diversity (6) Acceptance (6)
Cultural	Ohlone (7) Chochenyo (6) Miwok (6)	Bohol (6) Miwok (5) Chochenyo (5) Ohlone (5)	Ohlone (7)	
Person	Niel Tam (7) Mable Tatum (7) Fred Korematsu (7) Harriet Tubman (7) MLK (6) Ida B. Wells (6)	Mabel Tatum (7) Fred Korematsu (7) Yoshiko Uchida (7) Alice Hackett (6) Colonel Charles Young (6)	Niel Tam (7) Mabel Tatum (6) Fred Korematsu (7) Alice Hackett (5) Black Hawk (5)	Fred Korematsu (7) Ida B. Wells (6) Frederick Douglass (7) Harriet Tubman (6) MLK (6)

Based on this table and the total scores for the top five in each category:

- Values: Justice (21), Diversity (14), Acceptance (16), Truth & Reconciliation (14),
- Cultural: Ohlone (22), Chochenyo (14), Miwok (11)
- Person: Mabel Tatum (20), Fred Korematsu (28), Frederick Douglass (18), MLK (18), Niel Tam (21)

Based on this table, I highlighted the entries among the top 5 in each category with the highest frequency among the scorers.

• Value: Justice [4], Diversity [4]

• Cultural: Ohlone [3], Chochenyo [3], Miwok [2]

• Persons: Fred Korematsu [3], Frederick Douglass [3], MLK [3], Mabel Tatum [3]

Vetting Process

In our conversation, we shared our experiences with vetting. Jim liked the scores adding up. We noted that the criteria did not apply to all categories.

We suggests selecting a top 5 for different categories:

- Nature
- Person
- Values
- Historical
- Cultural

Our follow up tasks from the 10/7 meeting,

- Tasks
- Complete table (Rachel & Jessica)
- Define additional vetting process
- Outreach targeted outreach to specific groups

Recommendations:

My recommendations for

- Bring categories to full group for adoption;
- Identify alternative criteria for the other categories; and
- Consider consolidating some of the criteria, and/or adopting clear definitions for each
- Identify what the process should in November to vet names
- What was your experience using the scorecard? How can we improve it?
- Would you make any changes to criteria/categories/process?
- What should the process be once we receive names in November?